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## Purpose of the Report

Precision Actuarial prepared this report to meet employer financial accounting requirements under GASB Statement No. 75 "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions" (GASB 75), issued in June 2015. This report includes information with respect to the obligation to provide future retiree health and welfare benefits for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

## Changes Since the Prior Valuation

The previous valuation was performed by North Bay Pensions. We updated all assumptions and the census. This valuation is a full valuation.

As of June 30, 2017, the actuarial accrued liability is $\$ 5,932,188$.
As of June 30, 2018, the actuarial accrued liability is projected to be $\$ 6,244,262$.

## Actuarial Certification

Our determinations reflect the provisions and methods prescribed by GASB 75. In preparing this report, we relied on employee census, plan design, premium rates, and administrative fees provided directly or indirectly by the plan sponsor, and demographic assumptions provided by CalPERS. CalPERS' actuaries set the premium rates using community rating. We did not attempt to verify that the community-rated premium rates represent the true cost of claims and administrative fees.

We based the results in this report on this information, along with the actuarial assumptions and methods used herein. In our opinion, the assumptions used represent reasonable expectations of anticipated plan experience. We reviewed the census information for reasonableness, but we did not audit it.

Actuarial computations under GASB 75 fulfill employer accounting and financial reporting requirements. The calculations are consistent with our understanding of GASB 75. Determinations for purposes other than meeting employer financial accounting requirements may be significantly different from the results in our report. Accordingly, additional determinations may be necessary for other purposes, such as judging benefit security at termination.

Precision Actuarial's work is prepared solely for the internal business use of the Tiburon Fire Protection District and by Govlnvest. To the extent that Precision Actuarial's work is not subject to disclosure under applicable public record laws, the District may not provide our work to third parties without Precision Actuarial's prior written consent, except that the District may provide our complete work product to its qualified agents who are subject to confidentiality agreements and agree to use our work product only to benefit the District, and the District may provide our complete work product to other governmental agencies or individuals, as required by law.

No third-party recipient of Precision Actuarial's work product should rely solely on Precision Actuarial's work product. Any third-party recipient should engage qualified professionals for advice appropriate to their own needs.

There is no relationship between Precision Actuarial, its owner, subcontractors, or staff; Govlnvest; or the Tiburon Fire Protection District beyond the contractual services that we perform for the Tiburon Fire Protection District.

## Actuarial Certification (continued)

On the basis of the foregoing, we hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the report is complete and accurate and that we prepared it in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices which are consistent with the applicable "Actuarial Standards of Practice" and "Actuarial Compliance Guidelines" as promulgated by the American Academy of Actuaries.

The undersigned is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meets the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.


February 28, 2018
Roger T. Burton, FSA, FCA, MAAA
Date
Fellow of the Society of Actuaries (FSA)
Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA)
Fellow of the Conference of Consulting Actuaries (FCA)

A summary of the key valuation results follows.

| Funded Status as of: | Actual |  | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2017 |  | June 30, 2018 |  |
| Total OPEB Liability | \$ | 5,932,188 | \$ | 6,244,262 |
| Fiduciary Net Position |  | 3,124,938 |  | 3,809,229 |
| Net OPEB Liability | \$ | 2,807,250 | \$ | 2,435,033 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability |  | 52.68\% |  | 61.00\% |
| Covered payroll | \$ | 2,599,322 | \$ | 2,677,302 |
| Net OPEB Liability as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 108.0\% |  | 91.0\% |
|  |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2017-2018 |
| Expense | \$ | 327,810 | \$ | 383,959 |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | 760,836 | \$ | 756,176 |

Total OPEB
Liability,
\$6,244,262


2018 (Projected)

## Statement of Fiduciary Net Position

## Assets

Cash and deposits
Securities lending cash collateral
Total cash
Receivables:
Contributions
Due from broker for investments sold
Investment income (interest on investments)
Other
Total receivables
Investments:
Domestic fixed income securities
Domestic equities
Investment funds
\$
$\qquad$
-
$\qquad$
-

International equities
Real estate
Total investments
Total assets


## Liabilities

Payables:
Investment management fees
Due to broker for investments purchased
Collateral payable for securities lending
Other
Total liabilities

Net position restricted for postemployment benefits other than pensions
$\$ 3,124,938$

## Statement of Changes to the Fiduciary Net Position <br> for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2018

- 


## Fiscal Year Ending:

## Additions

Investment income:

| Net appreciation in the fair value of investments | \$ | 263,245 | \$ | 234,489 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and dividends |  | - |  | - |
| Less investment expense, other than from securities lending |  | - |  | - |
| Net income from investing, other than from securities lending |  | 263,245 |  | 234,489 |
| Securities lending income |  | - |  | - |
| Less securities lending expense |  | - |  | - |
| Net income from securities lending |  | - |  | - |
| Net investment income (a) | \$ | 263,245 | \$ | 234,489 |
| Contributions: |  |  |  |  |
| Employer - District's contribution | \$ | 727,702 | \$ | 680,015 |
| Employer - implicit subsidy |  | 71,179 |  | 76,161 |
| Employee |  | - |  |  |
| Total contributions (b) | \$ | 798,881 | \$ | 756,176 |
| Total additions (c) $=(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})$ | \$ | 1,062,126 | \$ | 990,665 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | \$ | 198,454 | \$ | 228,905 |
| Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled |  | 71,179 |  | 76,161 |
| Administrative expense |  | 1,276 |  | 1,308 |
| Total deductions (d) | \$ | 270,909 | \$ | 306,374 |
| Net increase in net position $=(\mathrm{c})-(\mathrm{d})$ | \$ | 791,217 | \$ | 684,291 |

Net position restricted for postemployment benefits other than pensions

| Beginning of year | \$ | 2,333,721 | \$ | 3,124,938 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase in net position |  | 791,217 |  | 684,291 |
| End of year | \$ | 3,124,938 | \$ | 3,809,229 |

- Asset projections for fiscal year-end 2018 estimated using expected rate of return, contributions, and benefit payments.


## Changes in the Net OPEB Liability

The funded status of the Plan as of the fiscal year-end, as well as other required disclosure information, follows.

Balances at June 30, 2016

| Increase (Decrease) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total OPEB | Plan Fiduciary | Net OPEB |
| Liability | Net Position | Liability |

## Changes for the year:

Service Cost
Interest
Differences between expected and actual experience
Change in assumptions
Net investment income
Contributions
Employer - District's contribution
Employer - implicit subsidy
Employer
Employer
Employee
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions employee contributions
Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled
Administrative expense
Other changes
Net changes
Balances at June 30, 2017

|  | (a) | (b) |  | (c) $=(\mathrm{a})-(\mathrm{b})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 5,612,042 | \$ | 2,333,721 | \$ | 3,278,321 |

199,394
199,394
390,385 390,385

263,245
$(263,245)$
$(198,454)$
727,702
$(727,702)$
71,179
$(71,179)$

|  | $(198,454)$ |  | $(198,454)$ |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(71,179)$ |  | $(71,179)$ |  | - |
|  |  |  | $(1,276)$ |  | 1,276 |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | 320,146 |  | 791,217 |  | $(471,071)$ |
| \$ | 5,932,188 | \$ | 3,124,938 | \$ | 2,807,250 |

## Fiscal Year Ending:

June 30, 2017

## Expense

1) Service Cost \$ 199,394
2) Interest (on liabilities) 390,385
3) Interest (on assets)
4) Administrative Expenses
5) Differences between expected and actual experience
$(263,245)$ 1,276
6) Change in assumptions
7) Differences between expected and actual earnings Total Expense

Derivation of 2017/2018 Total Expense for Items 5), 6), and 7)

| 5) | Differences between expected and actual experience |  | Earned |  | Total Recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2016-2017 |
|  | Actual liabilities |  | n/a |  |  |
|  | Expected liabilities | - | n/a |  |  |
|  | Difference |  | \$ | \$ | - |
| 6) |  |  | Earned |  | Total Recognized |
|  | Change in assumptions |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2016-2017 |
|  | Liabilities after assumption change |  | n/a |  |  |
|  | Liabilities before assumption change | - | n/a |  |  |
|  | Difference |  | \$ | \$ | - |
| 7) |  |  | Earned |  | Total Recognized |
|  | Differences between expected and actual earnings |  | 2016-2017 |  | 2016-2017 |
|  | Actual earnings |  | n/a |  |  |
|  | Expected earnings (net of expenses) | - | n/a |  |  |
|  | Difference |  | - |  | - |
|  | Straight-line amortization over five years |  | \$ | \$ | - |
| 2017 Deferred Outflows and Inflows |  | June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |
|  | Differences between expected and actual experience |  | \$ | \$ | - |
|  | Changes of assumptions |  | - |  | - |
|  | Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments |  | - |  | - |
|  | Total |  | \$ | \$ | - |

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

| Year Ending June 30 |  | Amount |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | $\$$ | - |
| 2019 |  | - |
| 2020 |  | - |
| 2021 |  | - |



Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

|  | Original Amount | Date <br> Established | Original Recognition Period | Amount Recognized Each Year |  | Amount Recognized in OPEB Expense through June 30, 2018 |  | Balance of Deferred Inflows as of June 30, 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment gains or losses | \$ - | 6/30/2017 | 5 years \$ | - | \$ | - |  | - | \$ | - |
|  |  | Total |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Economic/demographic gains or losses |  | Total |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Assumption changes or inputs |  | Total |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

Balances as of June 30, 2017

Service cost
Interest on total OPEB liability
Effect of plan changes
Effect of liability gains or losses Effect of assumption changes or inputs Expected investment income (net of investment expenses)

Investment gains or losses on expected return

## Contributions

Employer - District's contribution
Employer - implicit subsidy

## Employee

Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions
Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled
Administrative expenses
Recognition of liability gains or losses
Recognition of assumption changes or inputs

Recognition of investment gains or losses

Annual expense

Balances as of June 30, 2018


## Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2018

The calculation of the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) follows. The ADC is based on the District's funding policy.

| Fiscal Year Ending: |  | Actual |  | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2017 |  | June 30, 2018 |  |
| Normal Cost - Entry Age Normal | \$ | 199,394 | \$ | 205,376 |
| Administrative Expenses |  | 1,276 |  | 1,308 |
| Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) |  | 560,166 |  | 549,492 |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) | \$ | 760,836 | \$ | 756,176 |
| ADC as a percentage of payroll |  | 29.27\% |  | 28.24\% |
| Discount rate |  | 7.00\% |  | 7.00\% |
| Components of the ADC |  |  |  |  |
| District's Contribution* | \$ | 689,657 | \$ | 680,015 |
| Implied Contribution - Implicit Subsidy |  | 71,179 |  | 76,161 |

## District's Funding Policy

The District intends to contribute an amount equal to the ADC each year. The amortization period has been set to fully fund the liability by June 30, 2023.
The contribution comprises an implicit contribution, made by the District as part of its benefit payments for active employees, and an explicit contribution to the OPEB Trust equal to the ADC less the estimated implicit subsidy amount. The explicit contribution is labeled "District's Contribution" above. The ADC is the sum of the Entry Age Normal Cost for the year, plus administrative expenses and amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). The Normal Cost is the portion of the Actuarial Present Value of benefits allocated to a valuation year. The UAAL is the excess of the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Accrued Liability over the Market Value of Assets. The implicit subsidy is credited against benefits paid for active employees and is not included in the actual amount that the District pays in benefit payments for retirees or in the amount that the District contributes to the Trust Fund.

The District is amortizing the UAAL on a closed basis. Remaining years of amortization:

## Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2018

A summary of the information used to establish the amortization amount for the current year, with respect to the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL), follows. We recalculate the amortization of the UAAL each fiscal year.

|  | Balances for the Fiscal Year Ending: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2016 |  | June 30, 2017 |  |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age Normal | \$ | 5,612,042 | \$ | 5,932,188 |
| Actuarial Value of Plan Assets | - | 2,333,721 |  | 3,124,938 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) | $=\$$ | 3,278,321 | \$ | 2,807,250 |
|  | Amortization for the Fiscal Year Ending: |  |  |  |
|  | ne 30, 2017 June 30, 2018 |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate Used to Determine Amortization Payment |  | 7.00\% |  | 7.00\% |
| Assumed Rate of Payroll Growth |  | 3.00\% |  | 3.00\% |
| Amortization Period For the Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2017 |  | 7 years |  | 6 years |
| Amount Recognized (Principal \& Interest) | \$ | 560,166 | \$ | 549,492 |


| Fiscal Year Ending: |  | Actual <br> June 30, 2017 |  |  | Projected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | June 30, 2018 |  |
| Estimated Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| OBEB liability, beginning of year |  | \$ | 5,612,042 | \$ | 5,932,188 |
| Normal cost | + |  | 199,394 |  | 205,376 |
| Interest on liabilities | + |  | 390,385 |  | 411,764 |
| Projected Benefit Payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions |  |  | $(198,454)$ |  | $(228,905)$ |
| Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled |  |  | $(71,179)$ |  | $(76,161)$ |
| Total benefit payments | + |  | $(269,633)$ |  | $(305,066)$ |
| OPEB liability, end of year (a) | = | \$ | 5,932,188 | \$ | 6,244,262 |
| Estimated Assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets, beginning of year |  | \$ | 2,333,721 | \$ | 3,124,938 |
| Expected earnings* | + | \$ | 263,245 |  | 234,489 |
| Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer - District's contribution |  | \$ | 727,702 | \$ | 680,015 |
| Employer - implicit subsidy |  |  | 71,179 |  | 76,161 |
| Employee |  |  | - |  | - |
| Total contributions | + | \$ | 798,881 | \$ | 756,176 |
| Projected benefit payments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions |  | \$ | $(198,454)$ | \$ | $(228,905)$ |
| Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled |  |  | $(71,179)$ |  | $(76,161)$ |
| Total benefit payments | + | \$ | $(269,633)$ | \$ | $(305,066)$ |
| Administrative expenses | + | \$ | $(1,276)$ | \$ | $(1,308)$ |
| Assets, end of year (b) | = | \$ | 3,124,938 | \$ | 3,809,229 |
| Net OPEB liability, end of year = (a) - (b) |  | \$ | 2,807,250 | \$ | 2,435,033 |
| Estimated expense |  | \$ | 327,810 | \$ | 383,959 |
| Estimated ADC |  | \$ | 760,836 | \$ | 756,176 |

OPEB cash-flow projections for existing participants for the next thirty-six years follow.

| Fiscal Year Ending | Total Expected Benefit Payments |  | Expected Retiree Benefit Payments |  | Implicit Subsidy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | \$ | 305,066 | \$ | 228,905 | \$ | 76,161 |
| 2019 |  | 338,212 |  | 247,767 |  | 90,445 |
| 2020 |  | 355,913 |  | 260,512 |  | 95,401 |
| 2021 |  | 387,939 |  | 282,142 |  | 105,797 |
| 2022 |  | 423,712 |  | 302,920 |  | 120,791 |
| 2023 |  | 450,489 |  | 316,176 |  | 134,312 |
| 2024 |  | 471,576 |  | 330,713 |  | 140,863 |
| 2025 |  | 475,806 |  | 342,788 |  | 133,018 |
| 2026 |  | 512,062 |  | 365,278 |  | 146,784 |
| 2027 |  | 539,619 |  | 387,252 |  | 152,367 |
| 2028 |  | 531,350 |  | 398,096 |  | 133,253 |
| 2029 |  | 542,034 |  | 413,338 |  | 128,696 |
| 2030 |  | 567,164 |  | 435,069 |  | 132,095 |
| 2031 |  | 600,158 |  | 456,465 |  | 143,693 |
| 2032 |  | 647,153 |  | 481,777 |  | 165,376 |
| 2033 |  | 633,192 |  | 493,277 |  | 139,915 |
| 2034 |  | 675,123 |  | 514,993 |  | 160,130 |
| 2035 |  | 713,605 |  | 539,366 |  | 174,238 |
| 2036 |  | 700,084 |  | 552,961 |  | 147,123 |
| 2037 |  | 731,447 |  | 576,904 |  | 154,544 |
| 2038 |  | 750,147 |  | 601,697 |  | 148,451 |
| 2039 |  | 763,673 |  | 622,846 |  | 140,826 |
| 2040 |  | 796,237 |  | 647,195 |  | 149,042 |
| 2041 |  | 804,965 |  | 669,353 |  | 135,612 |
| 2042 |  | 859,328 |  | 693,850 |  | 165,478 |
| 2043 |  | 913,875 |  | 720,208 |  | 193,667 |
| 2044 |  | 938,899 |  | 739,891 |  | 199,008 |
| 2045 |  | 992,714 |  | 762,903 |  | 229,811 |
| 2046 |  | 1,042,663 |  | 783,737 |  | 258,926 |
| 2047 |  | 1,034,297 |  | 796,993 |  | 237,303 |
| 2048 |  | 1,057,857 |  | 813,081 |  | 244,776 |
| 2049 |  | 1,047,283 |  | 825,023 |  | 222,260 |
| 2050 |  | 1,046,955 |  | 834,946 |  | 212,008 |
| 2051 |  | 1,077,797 |  | 844,738 |  | 233,059 |
| 2052 |  | 1,032,848 |  | 847,579 |  | 185,269 |
| 2053 | \$ | 1,020,741 | \$ | 851,175 | \$ | 169,565 |
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| Fiscal Year Ending | Payroll for Current Employees (a) |  | Payroll for Future Employees |  | Total Employee Payroll <br> (c) |  | (d) $=(c) \times 29.27 \%$ for 6 Years, 7.95\% |  | of Future Plan Members(e) = (b) x 7.95\% |  | Current Plan Members$(f)=(d)-(e)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | \$ | 2,677,302 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,677,302 | \$ | 783,661 | \$ |  | \$ | 783,661 |
| 2019 |  | 2,745,641 |  | 11,980 |  | 2,757,621 |  | 807,171 |  | 952 |  | 806,219 |
| 2020 |  | 2,811,870 |  | 28,480 |  | 2,840,350 |  | 831,386 |  | 2,264 |  | 829,122 |
| 2021 |  | 2,860,206 |  | 65,355 |  | 2,925,561 |  | 856,328 |  | 5,196 |  | 851,132 |
| 2022 |  | 2,852,235 |  | 161,093 |  | 3,013,328 |  | 882,018 |  | 12,807 |  | 869,211 |
| 2023 |  | 2,894,593 |  | 209,135 |  | 3,103,728 |  | 908,478 |  | 16,626 |  | 891,852 |
| 2024 |  | 2,937,418 |  | 259,422 |  | 3,196,840 |  | 254,149 |  | 20,624 |  | 233,525 |
| 2025 |  | 2,976,651 |  | 316,094 |  | 3,292,745 |  | 261,773 |  | 25,129 |  | 236,644 |
| 2026 |  | 2,986,489 |  | 405,038 |  | 3,391,527 |  | 269,626 |  | 32,201 |  | 237,425 |
| 2027 |  | 2,957,733 |  | 535,540 |  | 3,493,273 |  | 277,715 |  | 42,575 |  | 235,140 |
| 2028 |  | 2,938,844 |  | 659,227 |  | 3,598,071 |  | 286,047 |  | 52,409 |  | 233,638 |
| 2029 |  | 2,901,266 |  | 804,747 |  | 3,706,013 |  | 294,628 |  | 63,977 |  | 230,651 |
| 2030 |  | 2,863,037 |  | 954,156 |  | 3,817,193 |  | 303,467 |  | 75,855 |  | 227,612 |
| 2031 |  | 2,828,966 |  | 1,102,743 |  | 3,931,709 |  | 312,571 |  | 87,668 |  | 224,903 |
| 2032 |  | 2,784,534 |  | 1,265,126 |  | 4,049,660 |  | 321,948 |  | 100,578 |  | 221,370 |
| 2033 |  | 2,744,032 |  | 1,427,118 |  | 4,171,150 |  | 331,606 |  | 113,456 |  | 218,150 |
| 2034 |  | 2,696,847 |  | 1,599,438 |  | 4,296,285 |  | 341,555 |  | 127,155 |  | 214,400 |
| 2035 |  | 2,666,614 |  | 1,758,560 |  | 4,425,174 |  | 351,801 |  | 139,805 |  | 211,996 |
| 2036 |  | 2,630,699 |  | 1,927,230 |  | 4,557,929 |  | 362,355 |  | 153,215 |  | 209,140 |
| 2037 |  | 2,576,230 |  | 2,118,437 |  | 4,694,667 |  | 373,226 |  | 168,416 |  | 204,810 |
| 2038 |  | 2,492,444 |  | 2,343,063 |  | 4,835,507 |  | 384,423 |  | 186,273 |  | 198,150 |
| 2039 |  | 2,369,697 |  | 2,610,875 |  | 4,980,572 |  | 395,955 |  | 207,565 |  | 188,390 |
| 2040 |  | 2,229,056 |  | 2,900,933 |  | 5,129,989 |  | 407,834 |  | 230,624 |  | 177,210 |
| 2041 |  | 2,106,401 | \$ | 3,177,488 | \$ | 5,283,889 | \$ | 420,069 | \$ | 252,610 | \$ | 167,459 |

Projection of Contributions Charts

Projected Payroll for Current and Future Employees


Projected Employer Contributions for Current and Future Plan Members
Projected Employer Contributions


For existing participants.

|  |  | Projected Beginning |  |  |  |  |  | Projected |  | Projected |  | Projected Ending Fiduciary Net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal |  | Fiduciary Net |  | Projected Total |  | Projected Benefit |  | Administrative |  | Investment |  | Position |
| Year |  | Position |  | Contributions |  | Payments |  | Expense |  | Earnings |  | $(\mathrm{f})=(\mathrm{a})+(\mathrm{b})-(\mathrm{c})$ |
| Ending |  | (a) |  | (b) |  | (c) |  | (d) |  | (e) |  | - (d) + (e) |
| 2018 | \$ | 3,124,938 | \$ | 783,661 | \$ | 305,066 | \$ | 1,308 | \$ | 235,451 | \$ | 3,837,676 |
| 2019 |  | 3,837,676 |  | 806,219 |  | 338,212 |  | 1,341 |  | 284,971 |  | 4,589,313 |
| 2020 |  | 4,589,313 |  | 829,122 |  | 355,913 |  | 1,375 |  | 337,766 |  | 5,398,913 |
| 2021 |  | 5,398,913 |  | 851,132 |  | 387,939 |  | 1,409 |  | 394,086 |  | 6,254,783 |
| 2022 |  | 6,254,783 |  | 869,211 |  | 423,712 |  | 1,444 |  | 453,377 |  | 7,152,216 |
| 2023 |  | 7,152,216 |  | 891,852 |  | 450,489 |  | 1,480 |  | 516,051 |  | 8,108,150 |
| 2024 |  | 8,108,150 |  | 233,525 |  | 471,576 |  | 1,517 |  | 559,186 |  | 8,427,768 |
| 2025 |  | 8,427,768 |  | 236,644 |  | 475,806 |  | 1,555 |  | 581,519 |  | 8,768,570 |
| 2026 |  | 8,768,570 |  | 237,425 |  | 512,062 |  | 1,594 |  | 604,132 |  | 9,096,471 |
| 2027 |  | 9,096,471 |  | 235,140 |  | 539,619 |  | 1,634 |  | 626,039 |  | 9,416,398 |
| 2028 |  | 9,416,398 |  | 233,638 |  | 531,350 |  | 1,675 |  | 648,669 |  | 9,765,680 |
| 2029 |  | 9,765,680 |  | 230,651 |  | 542,034 |  | 1,717 |  | 672,639 |  | 10,125,219 |
| 2030 |  | 10,125,219 |  | 227,612 |  | 567,164 |  | 1,760 |  | 696,819 |  | 10,480,726 |
| 2031 |  | 10,480,726 |  | 224,903 |  | 600,158 |  | 1,804 |  | 720,454 |  | 10,824,121 |
| 2032 |  | 10,824,121 |  | 221,370 |  | 647,153 |  | 1,849 |  | 742,721 |  | 11,139,210 |
| 2033 |  | 11,139,210 |  | 218,150 |  | 633,192 |  | 1,895 |  | 765,152 |  | 11,487,424 |
| 2034 |  | 11,487,424 |  | 214,400 |  | 675,123 |  | 1,942 |  | 787,926 |  | 11,812,685 |
| 2035 |  | 11,812,685 |  | 211,996 |  | 713,605 |  | 1,991 |  | 809,262 |  | 12,118,348 |
| 2036 |  | 12,118,348 |  | 209,140 |  | 700,084 |  | 2,041 |  | 831,030 |  | 12,456,393 |
| 2037 |  | 12,456,393 |  | 204,810 |  | 731,447 |  | 2,092 |  | 853,442 |  | 12,781,105 |
| 2038 |  | 12,781,105 |  | 198,150 |  | 750,147 |  | 2,144 |  | 875,282 |  | 13,102,246 |
| 2039 |  | 13,102,246 |  | 188,390 |  | 763,673 |  | 2,198 |  | 896,945 |  | 13,421,711 |
| 2040 |  | 13,421,711 |  | 177,210 |  | 796,237 |  | 2,253 |  | 917,775 |  | 13,718,206 |
| 2041 |  | 13,718,206 |  | 167,459 |  | 804,965 |  | 2,309 |  | 937,881 |  | 14,016,272 |
| 2042 |  | 14,016,272 |  | 154,784 |  | 859,328 |  | 2,367 |  | 956,397 |  | 14,265,758 |
| 2043 | \$ | 14,265,758 | \$ | 142,434 | \$ | 913,875 | \$ | 2,426 | \$ | 971,518 | \$ | 14,463,409 |

Actuarial standard of practice (ASOP) number 6, "Measuring Retiree Group Benefits Obligations and Determining Retiree Group Benefits Program Periodic Costs or Actuarially Determined Contributions", recently changed to require the inclusion of the implicit subsidy in OPEB valuations. This change is effective for actuarial studies with a measurement date on, or after, March 31, 2015. As such, this valuation includes implicit subsidy amounts.

The implicit subsidy arises when an employer allows a retiree (and their dependents) to continue on the active plans and pay the active premiums. Retirees are not paying the true cost of their benefits because they have higher utilization rates than actives and therefore are partially subsidized by the active employees. Once a retiree reaches Medicare eligibility, the rates are sufficient to cover the true costs and there is no implicit subsidy.

June 30, 2017 Actuarial Accrued Liability

| Category |  | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| District's contribution | $\$$ | $4,754,906$ |
| Implicit subsidy |  | $1,177,282$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $5,932,188$ |

## Schedule of Changes in the District's Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios

GASB 75 requires a disclosure of the changes in Net OPEB Liability for the last 10 fiscal years, or as many years as are available

| Total OPEB Liability | Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ | 199,394 |
| Interest |  | 390,385 |
| Changes of benefit terms |  |  |
| Differences between expected and actual experience |  |  |
| Change of assumptions |  |  |
| Benefit payments, included refunds of employee contributions |  | $(198,454)$ |
| Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled |  | $(71,179)$ |
| Net change in total OPEB liability |  | 320,146 |
| Total OPEB liability - beginning of year |  | 5,612,042 |
| Total OPEB liability - end of year (a) | \$ | 5,932,188 |

## Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Net investment income 263,245

## Contributions

Employer - District's conttribution \$27,702
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Employer - implicit subsidy } & \text { 71,179 }\end{array}$
Employee
Benefit payments, included refunds of employee contributions
Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled
Administrative expense
Other
Net change in plan fiduciary net position
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning of year
Plan fiduciary net position - end of year (b)
District's net OPEB liability - end of year $=(a)-(b)$
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability
Covered-employee payroll
District's net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll

|  | - |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 791,217 |
| $2,333,721$ |  |
| $\$$ | $3,124,938$ |
|  | $2,807,250$ |
| $\$$ | $52.68 \%$ |
|  | $2,677,302$ |
|  | $104.85 \%$ |

## Notes to schedule:

The District adopted GASB 75 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

GASB 75 requires a schedule of investment returns for the last ten fiscal years, or for as many years as are available if less than ten years are available.

Annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expense | Fiscal Year Ending |
| ---: |
| June 30, 2017 |

The annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expenses, is the net investment income for the year divided by the average net position for the year (less investment expenses).

The market value of assets as of June 30, 2017, used in this calculation were projected forward from March 31, 2017, using the assumed discount rate to estimate the fourth-quarter return.

## Schedule of Contributions

GASB 75 requires a schedule of contributions for the last ten fiscal years, or for as many years as are available if less than ten years are available.

| Actuarially determined contribution |
| :--- |
| Less: Contributions made |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) |
| Covered-employee payroll | | Fiscal Year Ending |
| ---: |
| June 30, 2017 |

A draft of the required notes to the District's financial statements, based on the requirements of GASB 75 and our understanding of the District's retiree health plan, follows.

## Notes to the Financial Statements for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

## Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Other Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB). For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense information about the fiduciary net position of the Tiburon Fire Protection District Retiree Benefits Plan (the Plan) and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Plan. For this purpose, the Plan recognizes benefit payments when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value, except for money market investments and participating interest-earning investment contracts that have a maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less, which are reported at cost.

## Note X - Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)

Plan Description. The District administers a single-employer defined-benefit post-employment healthcare plan. Benefits vary by hire date. Benefits continue to the surviving spouses.

Benefits Provided.
Eligibility for retiree health benefits requires retirement from the District on or after age 50 with at least five years of CalPERS service.

Eligible employees who were hired before March 1, 2005 receive $100 \%$ of medical premium. Spouse and dependent coverage is available.

Eligible employees who were hired after March 1, 2005 receive a vested share of the medical premium. Vesting starts at $50 \%$ for 10 years of service and increase $5 \%$ per additional year of service to a maximum of $100 \%$ with 20 years of service. Retirees with 5-10 years of service receive the PEMHCA minimum.

Employees covered by benefit terms.
At June 30, 2017 (the census date), the benefit terms covered the following employees:

| Category | Count |
| :--- | ---: |
| Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefit payments: | 37 |
| Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefit payment: | 0 |
| Active employees: | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Total Participants | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |

Contributions. The District establishes rates based on an actuarially determined rate.

For the year ended June 30, 2017 the District's expected contribution rate was $30.73 \%$ of covered-employee payroll. Employees pay the difference between the benefit they receive and the monthly premium.

## Net OPEB Liability

The District's net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30,2017 .

## Actuarial Assumptions.

The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2017 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Inflation: 2.50\%
Salary increases: Aggregate salary increases $3.00 \%$. Individual salary increases based on CalPERS.
Investment rate of return: 7.00\%
Healthcare cost trend rates: $7.00 \%$ in the first year, trending down to $3.94 \%$ over 58 years.
Mortality rates were based on CalPERS tables.
The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of OPEB plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of the arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class |  | Target Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Global Equity | $57 \%$ | $5.82 \%$ |  |
| Fixed Income |  | $27 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ |
| Treasury Inflation Protection Securities |  | $5 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ |
| Real Estate Investment Trusts |  | $8 \%$ | $4.25 \%$ |
| Commodities | $3 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $4.50 \%$ |  |

Discount rate.
The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was $7.00 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the City contribution will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates. Based on those assumptions, the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position was projected to cover all future OPEB payments. Therefore, the discount rate was set equal to the long-term expected rate of return.

## Changes in the Net OPEB Liability

Increases (Decreases)

| Total OPEB | Plan Fiduciary | Net OPEB |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Liability | Net Position | Liability |

Balances as of June 30, 2016


Changes for the year:

Service cost
Interest
Differences between expected and actual
experience
Contributions

> Employer - District's contribution

Employer - implicit subsidy
Employee
Net Investment Income
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions

Implicit rate subsidy fulfilled
Administrative expenses
Net changes
Balances as of June 30, 2017

199,394
199,394
390,385
390,385

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate. The net OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (6.00\%) or one percentage point higher ( $8.00 \%$ ) follows:

Net OPEB liability (asset)

|  | 1\% Decrease |  | Discount Rate |  | 1\% Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.00\% |  | 7.00\% |  | 8.00\% |
| \$ | 3,523,554 | \$ | 2,807,250 |  | 2,210,075 |

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates. The net OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are one percentage point lower (6.00\%) or one percentage point higher (8.00\%) than current healthcare cost trend rates follows:

Net OPEB liability (asset)

| 1\% Decrease | Trend Rate | 1\% Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.00\% | 7.00\% | 8.00\% |
| Decreasing to | Decreasing to | Decreasing to |
| 2.94\% | 3.94\% | 4.94\% |
| \$ 2,127,174 | \$ 2,807,250 | \$ 3,636,734 |

## Draft Notes to the Financial Statements

## OPEB Expense and Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District recognized OPEB expense of $\$ 327,810$. At June 30, 2017, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

|  |  |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between actual and expected experience | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Change of assumptions |  | - |  | - |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on OPEB plan investments |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | - | \$ | - |

Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

| Year Ended June 30 |  | Amount |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | $\$$ | - |
| 2019 |  | - |
| 2020 |  | - |
| 2021 |  | - |
| 2022 | $\$$ |  |

A summary of the substantive plan used as the basis of the valuation follows.

| Retiree Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retiree Medical Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligibility* | Standard CalPERS (5 years of service and attained age 50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duration of coverage | Retiree's lifetime |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surviving Spouse coverage | Yes, as required by CalPERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical plan choices | Any eligible plan available via PEMHCA and administered by CalPERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit* | District pays $100 \%$ healthcare premiums for retirees subject to a monthly maximum (max). The maximum depends on tier selection, hire date, and years of service (YOS) as shown in the table below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hire Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tier |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 2005 \\ & \text { ixed) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 / 2017 \\ & \text { ixed) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Employee | \$ | 664 | \$ | 664 | \$ | 664 |
|  | Employee+1 | \$ | 1,329 | \$ | 1,329 | \$ | 1,329 |
|  | Family | \$ | 1,729 | \$ | 1,729 | \$ | 1,729 |

## *Note

This group is subject to additional vesting requirements. After 10 years of service, district shall pay $50 \%$ of the cap. For every year of service after 10 years, the district shall pay an additional $5 \%$ per year toward the Cap to a maximum of $100 \%$ of cap after 20 years. For example, after 10 years of service the maximum payment would be $\$ 332$ for an employee only plan. After 15 years of service, maximum payment would be $\$ 498$. After 20 years of service, maximum payment is $\$ 664$.

## Participant Summary

Census Date:
June 30, 2017
Age and service determined as of the census date.

| All Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | 0-5 | 5-10 | 10-15 | 15-20 | 20-25 | 25+ |  |
| <25 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 25-30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 30-35 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 35-40 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 40-45 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 45-50 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 |
| 50-55 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |
| 55-60 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 60-65 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 65+ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 26 |


| Inactive Participants |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Retirees | Disabled | Spouses | Surviving <br> Spouses | Total |
| $<50$ |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| $50-54$ | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| $55-59$ | 4 | 1 | 4 |  | 9 |
| $60-64$ | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 5 |
| $65-69$ | 3 | 2 | 4 |  | 9 |
| $70-74$ | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 5 |
| $75-79$ | 2 |  |  | 2 | 4 |
| $80-84$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| $85-89$ |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| $90+$ |  |  |  | 16 | 3 |
| Total | 12 | 6 | 16 | 37 |  |

## Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial assumptions used for this valuation follows. We considered the reasonableness of each assumption independently based on its own merits, consistent with each other assumption, and the combined impact of all assumptions.

| Assumption | Rates |
| :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Cost Method | Entry Age Normal Level Percentage of Salary |
| Measurement Date | June 30, 2017 |
| Census Date | June 30, 2017 |
| Discount Rate | We selected a discount rate of $7.00 \%$ The discount rate is the long-term rate of return for the plan's assets, as our projections show that the assets will be sufficient to cover the projected benefit payments should the City continue to contribute to the plan with an amount of at least the ADC each year. Should the assets not be sufficient to cover the projected benefit payments at any time in the future, we would employ a discount rate reflecting the 20-year tax-exempt municipal bond yield or index rate to the period after which we project assets to run out. We would then use a single, blended discount rate equivalent to the long-term rate of return and the 20-year rate. If there are no assets, we would use only the 20year rate for the valuation. |
| Mortality | Same as CalPERS. See appendix. |
| Turnover | Same as CalPERS. See appendix. |
| Disability | Same as CalPERS. See appendix. |
| Retirement | Same as CalPERS. See appendix. |
| Per Capita Claims Cost | Developed based on CalPERS premiums and CalPERS HMO and PPO population data. Assumed annual per capita claims costs follow: |
|  | Age Males Females |
|  | $\overline{50}$ \$ 11,930 |
|  | 55 13,405 12,806 |
|  | 60 16,518 14,318 |
|  | $65 \quad \$ \quad 3,631$ \$ 3,170 |
|  | 70 \$ 4,386 \$ 3,751 |
| Average PMPM for Implicit Subsidy Calculation | Developed based on CalPERS premiums and CalPERS HMO and PPO population data. Assumed annual pre-Medicare PMPM is $\$ 7,368$. |
| Aging or Morbidity Factors | Based on actual CalPERS HMO and PPO population data. |
| Participant Contributions | Based on employee hire date. |


| Assumption | Rates |
| :---: | :---: |
| Salary Increases | $3.00 \%$ per year (same as CalPERS). The salary increase is used to determine the growth in the aggregate payroll. |
|  | Individual Salary Increases: 2015 CalPERS Merit Salary Increases. |
| Inflation Rate | 2.50\% |
| Marital Status | Used actual spouse status for current retirees. |
|  | Used actual spouse coverage and ages for current retirees. Future retirees: Assumed $80 \%$ are married. |
| Spouse Age Difference | Used actual spouse age for current retirees. Assumes males are three years older than females for future retirees. |
| Participation | Current Retirees: current elections remain unchanged |
|  | Future Retirees: |
|  | - Eligible for Distirct Contribution above the PEMHCA minimum 95\% |
|  | - Eligible for the PEMHCA minumum only 75\% |
| PEMHCA Administration Fee | $0.33 \%$ of retiree premium. |
| Annual PEMHCA Amount | \$1,566 for the period June 30, 2017 through June 30, 2018. |
| Benefit Cap Escalation Rate: | 3.5\% annually. |
| PEMHCA Minimum Trend | 3.00\% for all future years. |
| ACA Excise Tax | Assumed that the ACA Excise Tax will be greater than the benefit cap, and therefore the District will pass the tax on to the retirees. |

Assumption
Rates
Monthly Premiums, Employee only

| Plan | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Anthem HMO Select Bay Area | $\$ 783.46$ | $\$ 895.41$ |
| Health Net SmartCare Bay Area | $\$ 733.29$ | $\$ 863.48$ |
| Kaiser Permanente California Bay Area | $\$ 733.39$ | $\$ 779.86$ |
| Peace Officers Research Association of |  |  |
| California | $\$ 699.00$ | $\$ 734.00$ |
| PERS Choice Bay Area | $\$ 830.30$ | $\$ 800.27$ |
| PERS Select Bay Area | $\$ 736.27$ | $\$ 717.50$ |
| PERSCare Bay Area | $\$ 932.39$ | $\$ 882.45$ |

Medical Trend Rates Medical Long-Term Trends from Society of Actuaries "Long Term

| Year | Pre-Medicare | PostMedicare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 7.00\% | 6.00\% |
| 2018 | 6.50\% | 5.50\% |
| 2019 | 6.00\% | 5.50\% |
| 2020 | 5.50\% | 5.30\% |
| 2021 | 5.20\% | 5.20\% |
| 2022 | 5.20\% | 5.20\% |
| 2023 | 5.20\% | 5.20\% |
| 2024 | 5.19\% | 5.19\% |
| 2025 | 5.19\% | 5.19\% |
| 2026 | 5.19\% | 5.19\% |
| 2027 | 5.19\% | 5.19\% |
| 2028-2074 | ... | ... |
| 2075+ | 3.94\% | 3.84\% |

Appendix

This section includes a brief summary of GASB 75, as well as definitions of some of the key terminology used in this report.

## About GASB 75

In General. In June 2015 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board released GASB 75, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions". GASB 75 replaced GASB 45 for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017, for employers that sponsor OPEB plans. The provisions in GASB 75 are similar to the provisions of GASB 68 for pensions.

Accounting. GASB 75 requires a liability known as the Net OPEB Liability (NOL). The employer recognizes the NOL on its balance sheet. The employer also recognizes an OPEB expense in the income statement. GASB 45 recorded the Unfunded Accrued Actuarial Liability (UAAL) in the notes to the financial statement, whereas GASB 75 records the NOL, which is very similar to the UAAL with just a few technical differences, on the balance sheet.

Financial Statement Impact (Employers). One of the biggest changes to the financial statements of governmental employers that provide OPEB is the reporting of the OPEB liability on the face of the statements rather than in the footnotes. Governments that do not provide OPEB through a trust are required to recognize the entire OPEB liability in the financial statements. For governments that provide OPEB through an OPEB plan that is administered through a trust, the government's OPEB liability is recognized net of the amount of the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position.

Changes to the Measurement of the Total OPEB Liability. Measurement of the OPEB liability includes discounting future benefit payments for current and former employees and their beneficiaries to their present value and allocating the present value over past and future periods of the employee service (total OPEB liability), less the amount of the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position. The calculation continues to include employee-related events, such as projected salary increases and projected years of service, if they affect the amount of OPEB payments employees will receive, as well as provisions for automatic cost-ofliving adjustments (COLAs) and other automatic benefits. Additionally, ad hoc COLAs and other ad hoc benefit changes, which are made at the discretion of the government, are included in projections as well, if they routinely recur.

GASB 75 requires governments to discount projected OPEB payments to their present value. Under the new standard, governments discount the projected OPEB payments to be made in each year and the amount of plan assets (if a government administers the OPEB through a trust) available for providing those benefits to current active and inactive employees and their beneficiaries. Similar to the pension standards, the discount rate used is based on whether the plan assets are projected to be sufficient to make future payments. If the plan assets are sufficient, governments discount future payments using the long-term expected rate of return. If projected plan assets are insufficient to make all future payments to current and inactive employees and their beneficiaries, or if there are no plan assets held in trust, the discount rate is based on a high-quality 20 -year tax-exempt general obligation municipal bond yield or index rate. "Highquality" is defined as being rated AA or higher (or an equivalent rating).

Cost Method. The Entry Age Normal Cost method must be used.

## About GASB 75 (continued)

Factors that affect a government's OPEB liability, such as actual earnings on plan investments when the OPEB plan is administered as a trust, employee compensation changes, interest on the outstanding OPEB liability, contributions from employees and employers, and actual demographic and economic changes that are not in line with assumptions made in the actuarial calculations, are considered when determining the government's OPEB expense. A government's annual OPEB expense is calculated with consideration for factors affecting the OPEB liability within the reporting period. Several causes of changes in OPEB liability are immediately factored into the calculation of OPEB expense for the period, such as benefits earned each year, interest on the total OPEB liability, changes in benefit terms, and projected earnings on plan investments, if administered through a trust.

Governments are required to recognize deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources and then introduce into the expense calculation, systematically and rationally over the average remaining years of employment (active employees and inactive employees, including retirees), the effect on the total OPEB liability of differences between assumptions and actual experience.

## Key Terminology

Actuarially Determined Contribution

Actuarial Present Value of Projected Benefit Payments

Actuarial Valuation Date
Agent Employer

Closed Period

Contributions

Defined Benefit OPEB

A target or recommended contribution to a defined benefit OPEB plan for the reporting period, determined in conformity with Actuarial Standards of Practice based on the most recent measurement available when the contribution for the reporting period was adopted.

Projected benefit payments discounted to reflect the expected effects of the time value (present value) of money and the probabilities of payment.

The date as of which an actuarial valuation is performed.
An employer whose employees are provided with OPEB through an agent multiple-employer defined-benefit OPEB plan.

A specific number of years that is counted from one date, which declines to zero with the passage of time. For example, if the recognition period initially is five years on a closed basis, four years remain after the first year, three years after the second year, and so forth until no years remain.

Additions to an OPEB plan's fiduciary net position for amounts from employers, non-employer contributing entities, or employees.

OPEB for which the benefits that the employee will receive at or after separation from employment are defined by the benefit terms. The OPEB may be stated as (a) a specified dollar amount (b) an amount that is calculated based on one or more factors such as age, years of service, and compensation, or (c) a type or level of coverage such a prescription drug coverage or a percentage of health insurance premiums. OPEB that does not have all of the terms of defined contribution OPEB is classified as defined-benefit OPEB.

## Key Terminology (continued)

\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Discount Rate } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The single rate of return that, when applied to all projected benefit } \\
\text { payments, results in an actuarial present value of projected benefit payments } \\
\text { equal to the total of the following: }\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{l}\text { a. The actuarial present value of benefit payments projected to be made in } \\
\text { future periods in which (1) the amount of the OPEB plan's fiduciary net } \\
\text { position is projected to be greater than the benefit payments that are } \\
\text { projected to be made in that period and (2) OPEB plan assets up to that point } \\
\text { are expected to be invested using a strategy to achieve the long-term } \\
\text { expected rate of return, calculated using the long-term expected rate of } \\
\text { return on OPEB plan investments. }\end{array} \\
\text { b. The actuarial present value of projected benefit payments not included in }\end{array}
$$\right\} \begin{array}{l}(a), calculated using a yield or index rate for 20-year, tax-exempt general <br>
obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher (or <br>

equivalent quality on another rating scale).\end{array}\right\}\)| The period between the prior and current measurement dates. |
| :--- |

The valuation used the following decrement tables from the CalPERS OPEB Assumption Model, revised March 7, 2014 :
https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/employers/benefit-programs/cerbt/opeb-assumption-model

## Mortality

Miscellaneous Employees
Safety Employees

Disability Rates
Miscellaneous Employees
Safety Employees

## Terminated Vested Rates

## Miscellaneous Employees

Safety Employees

## Terminated Refund Rates

## Miscellaneous Employees

Safety Employees

## Service Retirement Rates

Miscellaneous Employees

- $2.7 \%$ at 55

Safety Employees

- $2.0 \%$ at 50
- $3.0 \%$ at 55

Rx Misc 2.7 \% @ 55

## Source Table

Mort and Disb Rates_Misc
Mort and Disb Rates_Fire

Mort and Disb Rates_Misc
Mort and Disb Rates_Fire

Terminated Vested Rates_Misc
Terminated Vested Rates_Fire

Terminated Refund Rates_Misc
Terminated Refund Rates_Fire

Rx Safety Fire 2\% @ 50
Rx Safety Fire 3\% @ 55

